Spin values based on AF/S/AF heterostructures V.M. Gordeeva¹, G.A. Bobkov¹, I.V. Bobkova^{1,2}, A.M. Bobkov¹, L. J. Kamra³, S. Chourasia⁴, A. Kamra⁴ ¹MIPT, Dolgoprudny, Russia; ²HSE, Moscow, Russia; ³Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway;

⁴Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Introduction

Superconducting Motivation: devices based effect in proximity on superconductor/magnetic material heterostructures are important objects for superconducting spintronics. The dependence of the critical temperature of magnetic material/superconductor/magnetic material trilayers on the angle between the magnetic layers' magnetizations leads to a spin-value effect and gives opportunity to use such structures as logical elements. While superconducting spin values with ferromagnets are well-studied [1-3], we describe AF/S/AF spin values.

Neel triplet Cooper pairs: It was demonstrated [4] that the Neel order of the *fully* compensated AF makes the conventional singlet pairing to be partially converted into spin-triplet correlations at AF/S interfaces. Their amplitude flips sign from one lattice site to the next, just like the Neel spin order in the AF. Thus, they are called Neel triplet Cooper pairs. **Spin-valve effect** in AF/S/AF structures is caused by sensitivity of Neel triplet Cooper pairs to mutual orientation of the Neel vectors of the AFs. Alternating sign of Neel triplets' amplitude also leads to **parity effect** [5].

Spin-valve effect in AF/S/AF

Dependence of the critical temperature T_c of the (insulating) AF)/S/(insulating AF) spin value on the angle ϕ between Neel vectors in the AFs and the impurity strength in S $\delta\mu$.



Fig.2 Setup. The angle ϕ between the Neel vectors is shown. BdG, influence of impurities: 8.0 ^c(δμ=0) L₀ 0.4 0.2

0.15

0.20

BdG, clean case (no impurities):



Fig.3 $T_c(\phi)$ for the AF/S/AF structure.

Spin-valve effect in FI/S/FI (review) **Experiment:** Theory: (b) 120 120 The average exchange field seen by a conduction electron is $h = 2|\Gamma|S(a/d_S)\cos(\theta/2),$ R (Ω) 80 (О) К (О) where θ is the angle between magnetizations of F layers [1]. –■— AP —■— AP ____P This predicts suppression of 1.55 1.60 1.50 2.3 2.1 2.2 superconductivity for the *T*(K) *T* (K) (P) configuration and parallel no suppression for the antiparallel **Fig.1** R(T) for the P and AP configurations for (a) (AP).EuS/Al/EuS and (b) EuS/Al₂O₃/Al/Al₂O₃/EuS [2].

to impurities. Ê 0.8 $\frac{\Phi}{0.0}$ 0.6 <u><u><u>o</u></u> 0.4</u> 0.0 0.05 0.15 0.20 0.00 0.10 *δμ |* t

0.10

Fig.4 General suppression $T_c(\phi = 0)$ due

δμ | t

0.0

0.05

Fig.5 Suppression of spin-valve effect by



Parity effect in AF/S/AF and F/S/F



Anomalous Green's function:

$$F_{\boldsymbol{i},\alpha\beta}(\omega_m) = \sum_n \left(\frac{u_{n,\alpha}^{\boldsymbol{i}} v_{n,\beta}^{\boldsymbol{i}\star}}{i\omega_m - \varepsilon_n} + \frac{u_{n,\beta}^{\boldsymbol{i}} v_{n,\alpha}^{\boldsymbol{i}\star}}{i\omega_m + \varepsilon_n} \right)$$

Singlet (triplet) correlations:

$$F_{\mathbf{i}}^{s,t}(\omega_m) = F_{\mathbf{i},\uparrow\downarrow}(\omega_m) \mp F_{\mathbf{i},\downarrow\uparrow}(\omega_m)$$

$$F_{\boldsymbol{i}}^t = \sum_{\omega_m > 0} F_{\boldsymbol{i}}^t(\omega_m)$$





Fig.11 (a) Setup and the angle θ between the Neel vectors. Spatial variation of the triplet correlations amplitude F_i^t in (b) AF/S bilayer, (c) AF/S/AF with odd number of S monolayers and $\theta = 0$ or (d) $\theta = \pi$.



0.9

0

monolayers N_y changes from even to odd.

0.5

 θ/π

0.5

 θ/π

impurities. $T_c(\phi = 0) - T_c(\phi = \pi)$ as a function of the impurity strength $\delta\mu$.



Fig.6 $T_c(\phi = 0) + T_c(\phi = \pi) - 2T_c(\phi = \pi)$ $\pi/2$) as a function of the impurity strength $\delta\mu$.



Fig.9 $T_c(\phi)$ for a fixed d_S and different h_{eff} .

Conclusions

(i) Neel triplet correlations in AF/S/AF lead to spin-value effect. The results demonstrate suppression of the value effect at larger d_S and possibility of absolute value effect for larger values of h_{eff} .

(ii) Presence of impurities suppresses Neel triplets, which leads to disappearing of the valve effect.

(iii) For larger d_S critical temperature manifests non-monotonic dependence on the misorientation angle due to appearance of equal-spin correlations and interference effects.

(a) Setup. Normalized Fig.10 critical temperature $T_c(\theta)$ for (b) stronger and (c) weaker interfacial exchange coupling J. N_y is the number of S monolayers

The work was supported by RSF project №22-22-00522.

(iv) Angle dependence of AF/S/AF critical temperature shows the parity effect, which provides a distinct signature of the Neel triplets.

References

[1] P. G. de Gennes, Coupling between ferromagnets through a superconducting layer, Phys. Lett. **23**, 10 (1966).

[2] B. Li, N. Roschewsky, B. A. Assaf, M. Eich, M. Epstein-Martin, D. Heiman, M. Münzenberg, and J. S. Moodera, Superconducting spin switch with infinite magnetoresistance induced by an internal exchange field, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 097001 (2013). [3]A. A. Kamashev, N. N. Garif'yanov, A. A. Validov, J. Schumann, V. Kataev, B. Büchner, Ya. V. Fominov, and I. A. Garifullin, Superconducting spin-valve effect in heterostructures with ferromagnetic Heusler alloy layers, Phys. Rev. B 100, 134511 (2019). [4] G. A. Bobkov, I. V. Bobkova, A. M. Bobkov, and A. Kamra, Neel proximity effect at antiferromagnet/superconductor interfaces, Phys. Rev. B 106, 144512 (2022). [5] L. J. Kamra, S. Chourasia, G. A. Bobkov, V. M. Gordeeva, I. V. Bobkova, and A. Kamra, Infinite magnetoresistance and Neel triplets-mediated exchange in antiferromagnetsuperconductor-antiferromagnet trilayers, arXiv:2306.11373 (2023).